

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,456	07/01/2003	Johann Schuster	P03,0258	1611
26574	7590 10/18/2006		EXAMINER	
SCHIFF HARDIN, LLP PATENT DEPARTMENT			ROY, BAISAKHI	
6600 SEARS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 60606-6473		3737	
			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				e		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
065		10/611,456	SCHUSTER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Baisakhi Roy	3737			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutal reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 J	<i>uly 2006</i> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
-	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	• •				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	·	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Burea	. , , ,				
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receive	∌d.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/611,456 Page 2

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 7/7/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to the patient bed mechanism and mobility where "the coupling device automatically firmly joins the gradient coil to the patient bed mechanism when the patient bed mechanism moves toward and contacts the gradient coil unit in a movement direction, and releases the gradient coil unit form the patient bed mechanism when the patient bed mechanism again moves in the movement direction", is directed to functional language and does not add further to the structure of the system as claimed. The coupling and releasing functions as stated in claim 1 are not directed to any further structural elements of the system and therefore serving as intended use language. Therefore the previous rejection stands.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Englund et al. (5197474). Englund et al. disclose a patient bed mechanism for MR examination, with a bed adapted to receive an examination subject and is movable into and out of the examination space (abstract), a coil unit which is movable into and out of the examination space and is coupled to the bed for moving the coil unit relative to the

Application/Control Number: 10/611,456 Page 3

Art Unit: 3737

examination space with the coil unit joined to the bed (col. 4 lines 27-55). The coil unit is received outside of the examination space in a cart or the lower bed with the receiving device is attached to the scanner (col. 4 lines 46-55, col. 5 lines 3-15). The device includes electrical connections for supplying power to the coil unit (col. 4 lines 56-63). The system further includes a control device for guiding the coil unit through the examination space and the patient bed (22-26).

Conclusion

2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Baisakhi Roy whose telephone number is 571-272-7139. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 a.m. - 4p.m.).

Application/Control Number: 10/611,456 Page 4

Art Unit: 3737

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BR

BR

BRIAN L. CASLET
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700